#### What We Will Do Today

- 1. Project WIN Overview
- 2. Gather participant demographics
- 3. Provide an update on the I-64 & Grinstead CSO Basin design process
- 4. Explore possible site concepts
- 5. Collect suitability and potential enhancement input
- 6. Collect comments on other aspects of the project





#### Pilot Public Engagement Process

- Have you help us develop the best solutions possible within our legal obligations
- Give everyone an equal voice
- Show you the results of your input





#### **Technology Aid: RF Keypads**

- Simple
- Anonymous
- Simultaneous
- Scalable
- Equal Voice for All






#### How the Keypads Work



#### **How Young Are You?**

- 1. 0-19
- 2. 20-29
- 3. 30-39
- 4. 40-49
- 5. 50-59
- 6. 60-69
- 7. 70-79
- 8. 80+








#### **Gender?**

- 1. Male
- 2. Female








## How Did You Hear About the Meeting? (Up to 3)

- Yellow Post Card
- 2. Saw It in the CJ
- 3. Saw it in a Council Newsletter
- 4. Heard About it From Someone
- 5. Received a Flyer
- 6. Project WIN website








#### **Area You Live In?**

- 1. Area 1
- 2. Area 2
- 3. Area 3
- 4. Area 4
- 5. Area 5
- 6. Area 6
- 7. Area 7
- 8. Area 8
- 9. Area 9
- 10. Outside the Areas








# Areas You Work, Play or Go to School In? (Up to 3)

- 1. Area 1
- 2. Area 2
- 3. Area 3
- 4. Area 4
- 5. Area 5
- 6. Area 6
- 7. Area 7
- 8. Area 8
- 9. Area 9
- 10. Outside the Areas






#### Business Owner? Which Area?


- 1. Area 1
- 2. Area 2
- 3. Area 3
- 4. Area 4
- 5. Area 5
- 6. Area 6
- 7. Area 7
- 8. Area 8
- 9. Area 9
- 10. Outside the Areas





## How Familiar Are You With the I-64 & Grinstead Project?

- 1. Very Unfamiliar
- 2. Somewhat Familiar
- 3. Very Familiar







### Thanks! We'll Be Back With More Questions Soon.

- 1. Project WIN Overview
- 2. Gather participant demographics
- 3. Provide an update on the I-64 & Grinstead CSO Basin design process
- 4. Explore possible site concepts
- 5. Collect suitability and potential enhancement input
- 6. Collect comments on other aspects of the project





#### **Structured Public Involvement**

I-64 & Grinstead Drive CSO Storage Basin Project

#### Potential Concepts for the Site

- 1. No public access
- 2. Restricted access with public parking & trail head
- 3. Open access with passive recreation
- 4. Open access with structured recreation





#### **Structured Public Involvement**

I-64 & Grinstead Drive CSO Storage Basin Project

#### The Steps of the Process


- 1. Present some different potential concepts for the site
- Make a list of your thoughts on advantages or cautions related to each
- 3. Have everyone tell us (score with keypads) which thoughts are most important to them
- 4. Solicit ideas for improvement
- 5. Score those ideas
- 6. Evaluate the concept overall for appeal
- 7. Do it again for the next concept





## Concept 1: No public access. Benefits or Concerns? (Choose up to 3)


- Less Graffiti on control bldg
- 2. Aesthetic concerns of security fence
- 3. Impact on existing trails
- 4. Less maintenance costs
- 5. Impact on homeless population





## Concept 1: No public access. Mitigations/Enhancements? (Choose up to 3)

- 1. Green infrastructure top
- 2. Services for displaced homeless population
- 3. Wildlife displacement
- 4. Replace trees/offsite mitigation
- 5. Hydropower potential
- 6. Solar panels to run pumps






# Concept 1: No Public Access. Overall, How Appealing Is This?

- 1. Horrid Concept
- 2. Pretty Bad Concept
- 3. Unappealing
- 4. Slightly Unappealing 18%
- 5. Neutral
- 6. Slightly Appealing
- 7. Appealing
- 8. Pretty Good Idea
- 9. Brilliant Concept






27%

# Concept 2: Restricted access / public parking / trail head. Benefits or Concerns? (3)

1. More parking for trailhead








## Concept 2: Restricted access / public parking / trail head. Mitigations / Enhancements? (3)


- 1. Pedestrian bridge over 164 to Irish Hill
- 2. Green infrastructure for parking lot
- 3. Educational signage along trail
- 4. Streetscape entrance sign
- 5. Eliminate effluent channel





## Concept 2:Restricted access /public parking /trail head. Overall, How Appealing Is This?

- 1. Horrid Concept
- 2. Pretty Bad Concept
- 3. Unappealing
- 4. Slightly Unappealing
- 5. Neutral
- 6. Slightly Appealing
- 7. Appealing
- 8. Pretty Good Idea
- 9. Brilliant Concept







### Concept 3: Open Access with Passive Recreation. Benefits or Concerns? (3)

- 1. Your comment
- 2. Your comment
- 3. Your comment
- 4. Your comment
- 5. Your comment
- 6. Your comment
- 7. Your comment
- 8. Your comment
- 9. Your comment
- 10. Your comment






## Concept 3: Open Access with Passive Recreation. Mitigations/Enhancements? (3)



## Concept 3: Open Access with Passive Recreation. Overall, How Appealing Is This?

- 1. Horrid Concept
- 2. Pretty Bad Concept
- 3. Unappealing
- 4. Slightly Unappealing
- 5. Neutral
- 6. Slightly Appealing
- 7. Appealing
- 8. Pretty Good Idea
- 9. Brilliant Concept



20%

20%

20%




Mean = 6.20

## Concept 4: Open Access with Structured Recreation. Benefits or Concerns? (3)

- 1. Your comment
- 2. Your comment
- 3. Your comment
- 4. Your comment
- 5. Your comment
- 6. Your comment
- 7. Your comment
- 8. Your comment
- 9. Your comment
- 10. Your comment





#### **Concept 4: Open Access with Structured** Recreation. Mitigations/Enhancements? (3) Kayaking facilities

1.

- **Picnic facilities**
- **Privatized sports activities**
- **Playground**
- Include trail with educational **5**. signage
- **Public pool** 6.
- **Community LOJIC access**
- Public service access 8.
- Music performance space 9.
- **10**. Offsite mitigation/ parking lot enhancements



22%


13%

13%

9%

## Concept 4: Open Access with Structured Recreation. Overall, How Appealing Is This?

- 1. Horrid Concept
- 2. Pretty Bad Concept
- 3. Unappealing
- 4. Slightly Unappealing
- 5. Neutral
- 6. Slightly Appealing
- 7. Appealing
- 8. Pretty Good Idea
- 9. Brilliant Concept



18%

45%



Mean = 6.36

#### **Project Path Forward**

I-64 & Grinstead Drive CSO Storage Basin Project


- Project Development Public Input / Ongoing
- Detailed Project Development Public Input | Fall 2015
- Community Facilities Review (through Metro Planning & Design Services) | Fall 2016
- Construction Advertisement & Award | Spring 2107
- "Pardon Our Dust" Construction Kick-off Meeting | Summer 2017
- Construction | Fall 2017 Summer 2020





#### How satisfied are you with this process?

- 1. Very Unsatisfied
- 2. ..
- 3. Somewhat Unsatisfied
- 4. ..
- 5. No ParticularOpinion
- 6. ...
- 7. Somewhat Satisfied
- 8. ...
- 9. Very Satisfied



Mean = 6.20